



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,668	03/23/2004	Russell Wayne Dellmo	GCSD-1573 (51395)	1171

27975 7590 11/28/2007
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.
1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE
P.O. BOX 3791
ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791

EXAMINER

PAN, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2135

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/28/2007

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

creganoa@addmg.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/806,668

Applicant(s)

DELLMO ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph Pan

Art Unit

2135

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 January 1936.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on September 19, 2007 has been carefully considered. Claims 1-36 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7, 11-17, 21-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dhir et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,142,557 B2), hereinafter "Dhir", in view of Cheng (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0221034 A1).

Referring to claim 1:

i. Dhir teaches:

A cryptographic device comprising:

a cryptographic module and a communications module (see figure 8, elements 321 'encryption engine', 301 'wlan [i.e., wireless local area network] transceiver' of Dhir);

said cryptographic module comprising

a user Local Area Network (LAN) network interface (see figure 8, elements 325 'host bus interface', 326 'host device interface'; and figure 9, element 335 'LAN', of Dhir),

a cryptographic processor coupled to said user Local Area Network (LAN) network interface (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine' of Dhir), and

said communications module comprising
a network wireless LAN interface (see figure 8, element 301 'wlan [i.e., wireless local area network] transceiver' of Dhir), coupled to said cryptographic processor and switchable between wireless LAN modes (see column 3, lines 1-17 of Dhir).

However, Dhir does not specifically mention that the cryptographic module and the communication module are removably coupled.

ii. Cheng teaches a add-on card for connecting to both wired and wireless networks, wherein Cheng discloses that "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cheng into the method of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device.

iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Cheng into the system of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device, because "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

Referring to claims 2, 12, 22, 26, 30:

Dhir and Cheng teach the claimed subject matter: a cryptographic device (see claim 1 above). They further disclose that the network wireless LAN interface

circuit is switchable to one of an access point (AP) mode, an infrastructure mode, and an ad-hoc mode (see figure 9; and column 3, lines 1-17 of Dhir).

Referring to claims 3, 13, 23, 27, 31:

Dhir and Cheng teach the claimed subject matter: a cryptographic device (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the connector (see figure 4, element 55A, 55B, 57A, 57B of Cheng).

Referring to claims 4, 14, 24, 28, 32:

Dhir and Cheng teach the claimed subject matter: a cryptographic device (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the Ethernet (see column 2, lines 18 of Dhir).

Referring to claims 5, 15, 33:

Dhir and Cheng teach the claimed subject matter: a cryptographic device (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the power (see page 3, paragraph [0030], lines 10-13 of Cheng).

Referring to claims 6, 16, 34:

Dhir and Cheng teach the claimed subject matter: a cryptographic device (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the encryption algorithm (see column 9, lines 19-20 of Dhir).

Referring to claims 7, 17, 35:

Dhir and Cheng teach the claimed subject matter: a cryptographic device (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the processor and the encryption circuit (see figure 8, elements 324 'baseband processor', 321 'encryption engine' of Dhir).

Referring to claim 11:

i. Dhir teaches:

A cryptographic device comprising:

a cryptographic module and a communications module (see figure 8, elements 321 'encryption engine', 301 'wlan transceiver' of Dhir);

said cryptographic module comprising

a user local area network interface (LAN) (see figure 8, elements 325 'host bus interface', 326 'host device interface'; and figure 9, element 335 'LAN', of Dhir),

a cryptographic processor coupled to said user LAN interface (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine' of Dhir), and

said communications module comprising

a network wireless LAN interface (see figure 8, element 301 'wlan [i.e., wireless local area network] transceiver' of Dhir), and

said communications module comprising a predetermined one from among a plurality of interchangeable communications modules, and said network wireless LAN interfaces of said plurality of interchangeable communications modules each operating using a different wireless LAN mode (see column 3, lines 1-17 of Dhir).

However, Dhir does not specifically mention that the cryptographic module and the communication module are removably coupled.

ii. Cheng teaches a add-on card for connecting to both wired and wireless networks, wherein Cheng discloses that "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cheng into the method of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device.

iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Cheng into the system of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device, because "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

Referring to claim 21:

i. Dhir teaches:

A communications method comprising:

coupling a cryptographic module to a Local Area Network (LAN) device, a cryptographic processor coupled to the user LAN interface (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine'; and figure 9, element 335 'LAN', of Dhir);

providing a communications module, a network wireless LAN interface (see figure 8, element 301 'wlan [i.e., wireless local area network] transceiver', of Dir);

using the network wireless LAN interface to communicate with a wireless LAN (see column 6, line 66-column 7, line 3 of Dhir).

However, Dhir does not specifically mention that the cryptographic module and the communication module are removably coupled.

ii. Cheng teaches a add-on card for connecting to both wired and wireless networks, wherein Cheng discloses that "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cheng into the method of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device.

iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Cheng into the system of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device, because "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

Referring to claim 25:

i. Dhir teaches:

A communications method comprising:

coupling a cryptographic module to a Local Area Network (LAN) device, a cryptographic processor coupled to the user LAN interface; coupling the user LAN interface to a LAN device (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine'; and figure 9, element 335 'LAN', of Dhir);

coupling one of a plurality of communication modules to the cryptographic module, and the network wireless LAN interfaces of the plurality of interchangeable communications modules each operating in a different wireless LAN mode (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine', element 301 'wlan [i.e., wireless local area network]; column 3, lines 1-17; and column 6, line 66-column 7, line 3 of Dhir); and

using the communications module to communicate with a wireless LAN (see figure 8, element 301 'wlan [i.e., wireless local area network]; and column 6, line 66-column 7, line 3 of Dhir).

However, Dhir does not specifically mention that the cryptographic module and the communication module are removably coupled.

ii. Cheng teaches a add-on card for connecting to both wired and wireless networks, wherein Cheng discloses that "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cheng into the method of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device.

iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Cheng into the system of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device, because "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

Referring to claim 29:

i. Dhir teaches:

A communications system comprising:

a plurality of Local Area Network (LAN) devices coupled together to define a network, and a cryptographic device coupled to at least one of said LAN devices (see figure 9, element 335 'LAN'; and figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine',

of Dhir);

said cryptographic device comprising a cryptographic module coupled to said at least one LAN device, and a communications module (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine', element 301 'wlan [i.e., wireless local area network] transceiver' of Dhir);

said cryptographic module comprising a cryptographic processor coupled to said user LAN interface (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine', element 325 'host bus interface', element 326 'host device interface' of Dhir);

said communications module comprising a network wireless LAN communications interface, coupled to the cryptographic processor and switchable between wireless LAN modes (see figure 8, element 301 'transceiver'; and column 3, lines 1-17, of Dhir).

However, Dhir does not specifically mention that the cryptographic module and the communication module are removably coupled.

ii. Cheng teaches a add-on card for connecting to both wired and wireless networks, wherein Cheng discloses that "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Cheng into the method of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device.

iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Cheng into the system of Dhir to make the communication module removable from the cryptographic device, because "The network connection module can be detachable from the add-on card to allow for various network configurations." (see figure 4; and abstract, lines 9-11 of Cheng).

4. Claims 8-10, 18-20, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dhir et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,142,557 B2) in view of Cheng (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0221034 A1), and further in view of Klein (U.S. Patent No. 6,857,076 B1).

Referring to claims 8, 18, 36:

i. Dhir and Cheng teach the claimed subject matter: a cryptographic device (see claim 1 above). Dhir further discloses the encryption engine (see figure 8, element 321 'encryption engine' of Dhir).

However, they do not specifically mention the data buffer.

ii. Klein teaches data security for digital data storage, wherein Klein discloses the data buffer (see column 5, lines 57-67 of Klein)

iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Klien into the method of Dhir and Cheng to utilize the data buffer for encryption.

iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Klien into the system of Dhir and Cheng to utilize the data buffer for encryption, because data buffer can be used to store data during encryption process.

Referring to claims 9, 19:

Dhir, Cheng and Klein teach the claimed subject matter: a communications system (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the tampering (see column 7, line 44-45 of Klein).

Referring to claims 10, 20:

Dhir, Cheng and Klein teach the claimed subject matter: a communications system (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the disabling (see column 10, lines 1-3 of Klien).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 18, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

"It is respectfully submitted that the selective combination of references proposed by the Examiner is improper. As an initial matter, Dhir et al. teaches that the FPGA may be configured to use a common WLAN transceiver 301 that is compatible with both of the above-noted wireless standards by configuring the radio interface and controller 315 differently for each operating mode. See, e.g., col. 8, lines 41-60 of Dhir et al. As such, there is no need to have the transceiver located otherwise than on the same circuit board as the FPGA, which is exactly where Dhir et al. puts it." (see page 4, 3rd paragraph, Applicant's Arguments/Remarks).

Examiner maintains:

Dhir discloses "Referring to FIG. 6, there is shown an exemplary embodiment of an FPGA 300 in accordance with one or more aspects of the present invention. FPGA 300 comprises programmable gates 307, programmable input/output (I/O) blocks 306 and transceiver (physical layer) 301. Transceiver 301 may be a 5 GHz radio for purposes of implementing IEEE 802.11a technology or HiperLAN2 technology. It should be understood that both IEEE 802.11a and HiperLAN2 use the same physical layer, and thus transceiver 301 may be used for both technologies. Transceiver 301 physical layer is therefore for Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplex (OFDM) in accordance with the mentioned technologies. In order to achieve throughput necessary for operating a 5 GHz radio, transceiver 301 is hardwired or embedded, as opposed to having substantial functionality provided by programmable gates 307. Transceiver 301 is programmably coupled to programmable gates 307 through programmable I/O blocks 306." (see column 7, lines 4-22 of Dhir, emphasis added).

Dhir further discloses "Programmable gates may be programmed to comprise several modules, namely medium access control and baseband controller module 302, encryption algorithms module 305, baseband processor module 324, and host interface(s) module 304, as well as glue and other logic module 303." (see column 7, lines 22-27 of Dhir, emphasis added).

Therefore, Dhir discloses that the transceiver 301 [i.e., transmission module] supports multiple platform, such as IEEE 802.11a technology or HiperLAN2 technology, and is coupled with the FPGA 300 [i.e., encryption module]. However, Dhir does not disclose that the transceiver 301 [i.e., transmission module] is removable from the FPGA 300 [i.e., encryption module].

On the other hand, Cheng teaches an add-on card for a computer which is detachable from the computer and allows the compute to communicate with both wired and wireless networks, wherein Cheng discloses "After the first portion 51A is connected to the second portion 51B, the access control circuit MAC2 will control the wireless transmission module 64 and antenna circuit ANT2 to be connected to the wireless network 30A. The access control circuit MAC2 can also control the connecting circuit PHY2 to be connected to the wired network 30B via the network transmission line 58. The advantage of having the network connection module 68 removable is that users can change the network connection module based on changing requirements." (see page 3, paragraph [0030], lines 1-10 of Cheng, emphasis added).

Therefore, the combination of references Dhir and Cheng properly discloses the claimed invention.

Conclusion

6. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-5987.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached at 571-272-3859. The fax and phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Joseph Pan
November 19, 2007


KIM VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100